THE FUTURE OF BUSINESS, ENTREPRENEURSHIP, AND ECONOMIC EDUCATION FOR GROWTH AND SUSTAINABILITY IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: A THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

Solomon Oyelami, OYEWOLE, Ph.D[1], Aminat Folakemi ADELEKE, Ph.D[2], Rasheed Olawale OLAWOYIN, Ph.D[3], Matthew Adeola Okunlola[4], & Dr. Salako Gbadebo[5]
[1,2,3,&4]Department of Business and Entrepreneurship Education,
Faculty of Vocational, Innovation and Engineering Education,
Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo, Nigeria
[5]Department of Economics Education,
Faculty of Social Sciences Education,
Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo, Nigeria
oyewoleso@eauedoyo.edu.ng, adelekeaf@eauedoyo.edu.ng, lawoyinoyo@gmail.com,
deolamats@gmail.com, salakog@eauedoyo.edu.ng
+2348169823873, +2348032510350, +2348057992263, +2348035822574, +2347081514687

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a transformative force reshaping global economic systems, business models, entrepreneurial activities, and educational paradigms. As economies evolve into knowledge-driven, technology-intensive systems, business, entrepreneurship, and economic education play a strategic role in developing the human capital necessary for sustainable and inclusive economic growth. Adopting a theoretical and opinion-based approach, this paper examines how AI is redefining the objectives, content, and delivery of education for sustainable economic, business and entrepreneurship. Drawing on contemporary theories and recent literature, the study posits that AI literacy, ethical competence, interdisciplinary learning, and a sustainability orientation are now essential to align educational outcomes with future economic demands. The analysis highlights the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations inherent in AI integration and concludes with policy-relevant recommendations for enhancing education's role in fostering resilient and sustainable economic development.

***Keywords*:** Artificial intelligence, business education, entrepreneurship education, economic education, sustainability.

Introduction
The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has fundamentally altered modern economic structures, transforming the production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services. AI-driven systems now underpin decision-making in finance, marketing, supply chain management, governance, and entrepreneurship, thereby redefining requisite skills and labour market dynamics (Schwab & Zahidi, 2023). Consequently, education systems face mounting pressure to respond proactively to technological change, particularly in fields directly linked to economic development, such as business, entrepreneurship, and economics.

Traditionally, business, entrepreneurship, and economic education have focused on managerial competence, market efficiency, and macroeconomic literacy. However, AI has introduced novel forms of value creation rooted in data analytics, automation, and digital platforms, which demand that graduates possess advanced cognitive, technological, and ethical capabilities (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Ghosh et al., 2023). This paper argues that without deliberate and timely reform,

existing curricula risk becoming obsolete and disconnected from contemporary economic realities. Therefore, this study explores the evolving role of these educational domains in promoting economic growth and sustainability in the AI age.

Theoretical Perspectives on Education, AI, and Economic Growth

Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory emerged prominently in the mid-20th century as economists sought to explain persistent differences in productivity, income distribution, and economic growth beyond the traditional factors of land, labour, and physical capital. The foundational ideas can be traced to Theodore W. Schultz (1961), who first conceptualised education and training as investments rather than as consumption. Schultz's work was influenced by post-World War II reconstruction efforts and the rapid economic expansion observed in countries that invested heavily in education and skills development.

Human Capital Theory, formalised by Becker (1993), posits education as an investment that enhances individual productivity and, in aggregate, stimulates economic growth. In the AI era, the composition of valuable human capital has shifted. The economic value of routine cognitive and manual skills has diminished, while demand has increased for higher-order competencies such as creativity, complex problem-solving, adaptability, and technological fluency (Frey & Osborne, 2017). This study extends the theory by arguing that business and economic education must be recalibrated to develop this AI-complementary human capital. Aligning curricula with these emergent skill demands transforms education into a strategic tool for enhancing productivity and fostering innovation within an AI-enabled economy.

Socio-Technical Systems Theory

Originating from the work of Trist and Bamforth (1951), Socio-Technical Systems Theory emphasises that technological outcomes depend on the joint optimisation of social and technical systems. Applied to AI, this theory clarifies that intelligent systems are not neutral but are embedded within, and shaped by, institutional policies, ethical norms, and cultural values (Floridi et al., 2018). Therefore, the economic and societal impact of AI is mediated by its governance. From this perspective, business, entrepreneurship and economic education must integrate technical AI knowledge with robust ethical reasoning, sustainability awareness, and social responsibility. This prepares learners to deploy AI in ways that promote inclusive growth and long-term development.

Synthesis and Relevance

Together, these theories provide a complementary framework. Human Capital Theory explains why investing in AI-relevant education is critical for growth. In contrast, Socio-Technical Systems Theory explains how AI must be ethically and socially embedded to achieve sustainable outcomes. This synthesis positions education as both an economic investment and a socio-ethical instrument in the AI-driven economy.

Business Education in the Age of AI: A Comparative Perspective

The AI era introduces a fundamentally different paradigm for business education. Artificial intelligence enables automation of routine tasks, predictive analytics, intelligent decision-support systems, and real-time strategic insights, thereby redefining the nature of managerial work (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). Business education must therefore transition from teaching how tasks are performed to teaching how intelligent systems are designed, interpreted, governed, and ethically applied.

Unlike the pre-AI era, contemporary business education emphasises data literacy, computational thinking, strategic foresight, and human–AI collaboration. Students are trained to interpret complex datasets, evaluate algorithmic outputs, and exercise judgment in contexts where uncertainty and ambiguity prevail. This shift enhances graduates' capacity to make evidence-based decisions, anticipate market trends, and respond proactively to competitive pressures.
Furthermore, AI-driven personalisation in marketing, finance, and customer relationship management has reshaped competitive dynamics across industries. By integrating AI concepts into business curricula, institutions enhance graduate employability, adaptability, and capacity for innovation, enabling learners to contribute meaningfully to organisational productivity and national economic growth (Ghosh et al., 2023; OECD, 2024).

The Pre-AI Paradigm and Its Limitations
Traditionally, business education was structured around functional silos (accounting, marketing, finance), emphasising theoretical grounding, procedural knowledge, and rule-based decision-making for stable environments. While this model fostered managerial discipline and supported organisational efficiency, it exhibited critical shortcomings amid digital disruption. Its reliance on manual data processing, siloed curricula, and an emphasis on routine cognitive skills left graduates poorly equipped for uncertainty, interconnected challenges, and tasks increasingly susceptible to automation.

Transformational Shifts in the AI Era
AI redefines managerial work through automation, predictive analytics, and intelligent decision-support systems (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). Consequently, business education must transition from teaching how to perform tasks to teaching how to design, interpret, govern, and ethically apply intelligent systems. The new paradigm prioritises data literacy, computational thinking, strategic foresight, and human-AI collaboration. Integrating AI concepts into core curricula, such as data-driven strategy and digital transformation, enhances graduates' employability, adaptability, and capacity to contribute to organisational productivity and national economic growth (Ghosh et al., 2023; OECD, 2024).

Implications for Sustainability
This shift enhances the sustainability of business education by:
(1) Economically aligning graduate skills with future labour markets, reducing skills mismatch; (2) Institutionally promoting continuous curricular renewal and industry collaboration; and (3) Socially necessitating the inclusion of responsible AI use, data governance, and sustainability considerations.

Entrepreneurship Education and Innovation in an AI-Driven Economy: A Comparative Perspective
The AI era introduces a paradigm shift in entrepreneurship education by embedding intelligence, automation, and data-driven decision-making into venture creation and management. Artificial intelligence enables entrepreneurs to analyse large datasets to identify emerging market opportunities, predict customer behaviour, optimise pricing strategies, and refine value propositions with unprecedented precision (Sirait et al., 2025).

Unlike the pre-AI era, opportunity recognition is no longer solely intuition-driven; it is augmented by predictive analytics, social media mining, and machine learning models. Business models become dynamic and adaptable, continuously refined through real-time performance data. Automation tools

reduce operational burdens, allowing entrepreneurs to focus on creativity, strategic thinking, and innovation.

In entrepreneurship education, AI-powered tools enhance experiential and problem-based learning. Students engage in simulations, digital prototyping, virtual incubation, and data-enabled market testing, which mirror real entrepreneurial environments. Innovation hubs, technology-enabled incubators, and accelerator programs provide learners with access to AI tools, mentorship, and collaborative ecosystems, significantly improving venture viability and scalability (Park et al., 2025).

The Traditional Model and Its Constraints

Pre-AI entrepreneurship education focused on business plan development, feasibility studies, and experiential learning through apprenticeships or small-scale enterprise management. It fostered self-reliance, risk awareness, and incremental innovation suited to local, stable markets. However, its reliance on intuition, manual processes, and static business models limited opportunity recognition, scalability, and adaptability in the face of digital globalisation.

The AI-Enhanced Paradigm

AI empowers entrepreneurs by enhancing the identification of market opportunities, optimising business models, and scaling ventures through data analytics and automation (Sirait et al., 2025). Entrepreneurship education now integrates AI tools for predictive analytics, social media mining, and real-time market testing. Pedagogically, this involves AI-powered simulations, digital prototyping, and technology-enabled incubators, which provide experiential learning that mirrors the dynamics of digital venture creation (Park et al., 2025).

Implications for Sustainability

AI strengthens entrepreneurial sustainability by improving venture survival rates through informed decision-making, democratizing access via digital platforms, and fostering resilient, interconnected entrepreneurial ecosystems that link academia, industry, and technology providers.

Economic Education, Sustainability, and Inclusive Growth in the Age of AI

Economic education provides learners with the analytical tools to understand growth, inequality, and policy trade-offs. While AI boosts productivity, it simultaneously raises critical concerns regarding job displacement and income inequality (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020). Therefore, economic education must expand to address the nuances of digital economics, automation, and AI-induced structural changes.

Sustainability has become a central concern in discussions of AI-driven growth. Without appropriate governance and ethical frameworks, AI could exacerbate environmental degradation and social inequity (UNESCO, 2023). Embedding sustainability and ethics within economic education is crucial for promoting responsible decision-making and aligning educational outcomes with global development goals such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (OECD, 2024).

Before the emergence of artificial intelligence and advanced digital technologies, economic education was primarily anchored in classical, neoclassical, and Keynesian economic frameworks. Teaching focused on market structures, production, consumption, fiscal and monetary policy, national income accounting, and development planning. Analytical tools such as graphs, mathematical models, and historical datasets were used to explain economic behaviour and policy outcomes.

This traditional model of economic education made important contributions to national and global development. It equipped learners with foundational analytical skills, enabling them to understand

economic cycles, resource allocation, emphasis on welfare economics and public finance supported government interventions aimed at poverty reduction, employment generation, and social stability, and policy trade-offs. Graduates trained under this system played key roles in public administration, economic planning, banking, and international development agencies. The

Furthermore, pre-AI economic education promoted normative reasoning and institutional understanding, helping students appreciate the role of ethics, governance, and state responsibility in economic management. These strengths contributed to relatively stable policy formulation in environments where economic change was gradual and predictable.

Limitations of the Traditional Approach

Traditional economic education, anchored in classical and Keynesian frameworks, provided strong foundational analytical skills for understanding markets and policy. However, it struggled to explain rapid, AI-driven structural changes. Its static models, retrospective data analysis, and treatment of technology as an exogenous variable left graduates unprepared to address algorithmic bias, digital inequality, technological unemployment, or the environmental footprint of digital growth.

Economic Education in the AI Era: New Paradigms and Analytical Depth

The AI era introduces a transformative shift in economic education by expanding its analytical scope to include digital economics, automation, platform markets, and algorithm-driven decision-making. Artificial intelligence enhances productivity but also reshapes labour markets, income distribution, and firm behaviour, requiring more sophisticated analytical frameworks (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020).

Unlike the pre-AI era, contemporary economic education emphasises real-time data analysis, predictive modelling, and simulation-based policy evaluation. Learners are trained to assess the short- and long-term impacts of AI adoption on employment, wages, and productivity, as well as the trade-offs between efficiency and equity. This equips graduates to design proactive policies that mitigate job displacement and promote inclusive growth.

Moreover, sustainability has become a central pillar of AI-era economic education. Courses increasingly integrate environmental economics, green growth, ethical AI governance, and sustainable development frameworks, aligning economic analysis with global priorities such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2024). This integration ensures that economic decision-making considers ecological limits, social justice, and intergenerational equity.

The AI-Era Imperative: An Epistemological Shift

The core challenge is not merely adding AI topics but undergoing an epistemological transformation. AI-driven economies are non-linear, disruptive, and path-dependent, rendering traditional equilibrium-based analysis insufficient. Economic education must now treat technology as a central driver of structural transformation and empower learners to interrogate the design, governance, and distributive consequences of AI-driven growth.

Repositioning Sustainability and Inclusion

A central argument of this study is that sustainability and ethics must be repositioned from thematic add-ons to core analytical lenses. Every evaluation of AI-driven productivity gains must concurrently assess employment quality, energy consumption, data governance, and intergenerational equity. Furthermore, education must emphasise pre-distribution mechanisms, such as skills formation and access to digital infrastructure, to design inclusive economic systems proactively, rather than only correcting inequalities ex-post.

Opportunities for Teaching and Learning Enhancement

Beyond the dominant narratives in existing literature, this study argues that the core challenge facing economic education in the AI era is not merely technological adaptation, but a deeper epistemological and normative transformation. Traditional economic education, while analytically rigorous, was constructed for an era in which economic change was incremental, policy cycles were slow, and the consequences of decisions unfolded over long horizons. In contrast, AI-driven economies operate at unprecedented speed, scale, and complexity, rendering conventional pedagogical assumptions increasingly insufficient.

From the authors' perspective, one of the most underexplored weaknesses of pre-AI economic education lies in its overreliance on equilibrium thinking. Students were trained to analyse systems that tend toward balance, stability, and optimality. However, AI-enabled markets are inherently non-linear, disruptive, and path-dependent, with small algorithmic changes producing considerable social and economic consequences. This mismatch has significant implications for sustainability and inclusive growth, as policy responses based on outdated analytical frames risk amplifying inequality rather than mitigating it.

Furthermore, this study contends that traditional economic education treated technology as an exogenous variable, a background condition rather than a central driver of structural transformation. As a result, learners were prepared to manage outcomes of growth, but not to interrogate the design, governance, and ethical orientation of growth itself. In the AI era, such a passive stance is untenable. Economic education must now empower learners to question who benefits from productivity gains, who bears adjustment costs, and how algorithmic systems redistribute power within economies.

A critical original contribution of this discussion is the assertion that sustainability in economic education must be repositioned from a thematic add-on to a core analytical lens. Rather than treating environmental sustainability, social inclusion, and ethics as separate modules, this study argues that they should function as integrative filters through which all economic analysis is conducted. For instance, productivity gains from AI should not be evaluated solely in terms of output growth, but also in terms of employment quality, energy consumption, data governance, and intergenerational equity. This reframing fundamentally alters how students interpret economic success.

The authors further argue that inclusive growth in the AI era cannot be achieved through redistribution alone. Instead, economic education must emphasise pre-distribution mechanisms, such as skills formation, access to digital infrastructure, and participation in data-driven value creation. This requires a pedagogical shift from teaching students how to correct market failures after they occur to teaching them how to design economic systems that minimise exclusion from the outset. Such an approach marks a decisive departure from traditional welfare-centric economic instruction.
Importantly, this study also highlights the pedagogical agency of economic educators. In the pre-AI era, instructors functioned essentially as transmitters of established theories and models. In the AI era, the educator becomes a critical mediator between technology, policy, and society, guiding learners to navigate ethical dilemmas, uncertainty, and competing development priorities. This expanded role is essential for cultivating economists and business leaders who can balance innovation with responsibility.

In synthesising these arguments, the study asserts that the actual value of AI-era economic education lies not in teaching students how to use advanced tools but in shaping their capacity to exercise judgment, foresight, and moral reasoning in technologically saturated environments. While existing literature rightly emphasises the risks of job displacement and inequality, this discussion advances

the debate by positioning economic education as a proactive instrument for shaping AI-driven growth trajectories, rather than a reactive response to their consequences.

In conclusion, the originality of this study lies in its redefinition of economic education as a transformative social institution in the AI era, which does not simply interpret economic change but actively contributes to steering it toward sustainability and inclusivity. By foregrounding this perspective, the paper moves beyond literature synthesis and asserts a clear scholarly voice that contributes meaningfully to ongoing debates on education, artificial intelligence, and development.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Integration poses significant challenges: algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, and unequal access to digital infrastructure threaten to exacerbate existing inequalities (Eubanks, 2018). Furthermore, institutions, especially in developing economies, face constraints in funding, infrastructure, and faculty readiness (UNESCO, 2023). Overcoming these hurdles requires strong ethical frameworks and substantial investment to ensure AI's integration into education contributes to equitable growth.

Conclusion

This analysis demonstrates that AI fundamentally reconfigures the purpose, content, and societal responsibility of business, entrepreneurship, and economic education. Education systems clinging to pre-AI paradigms risk producing graduates who are technically displaced and ethically unprepared. Drawing on human capital and socio-technical theories, the paper argues that AI's benefits are not automatic but are mediated by how education equips learners to govern intelligent systems ethically. The transition is from intuition-based, manual, and equilibrium-oriented models to data-driven, adaptive, and systems-oriented frameworks. Most originally, this study contends that sustainability must become a core analytical lens, not an auxiliary topic, and that education must foster a shift from reactive problem-solving to design-oriented thinking for inclusive systems.

The future relevance of these educational domains hinges on deliberate action: curricular reform, interdisciplinary integration, educator capacity building, and unwavering alignment with ethical and sustainability imperatives. In this reconfigured role, education can ensure artificial intelligence catalyzes inclusive innovation, resilient economies, and sustainable development.

Suggestions for Repositioning Education in the AI Era

1. Treat AI as a Foundational Literacy: Embed AI awareness, data reasoning, and algorithmic thinking as core, interdisciplinary components of business and economics curricula, consistently linked to ethical and social analysis.
2. Transform the Role of Educators and Learning Environments: Support educators as facilitators of inquiry and ethical reasoning through continuous professional development. Evolve learning infrastructures to enable simulation, experimentation, and engagement with real-time data and AI tools.
3. Mainstream Sustainability and Ethics as Central Analytical Lenses: Integrate sustainability and ethical evaluation into all aspects of curriculum design, ensuring learners automatically assess economic and business decisions for their environmental impact, social inclusion, and long-term resilience.
4. Foster Design-Oriented Thinking: Shift pedagogical emphasis from reacting to technological change to proactively designing inclusive economic systems and participatory AI governance frameworks. Empower learners to envision and shape alternative, sustainable development pathways.

References

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Artificial intelligence and jobs. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(3), 30–50.

Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). *Artificial intelligence for the real world*. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116.

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality.* St. Martin's Press.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., & Luetge, C. (2018). AI 4 People: An ethical framework. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254-280.

Ghosh, S., Chatterjee, S., & Chaudhuri, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence in business education. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113546.

OECD.(2024). *Artificial intelligence and the future of skills*. OECD Publishing.

Park, J., Kim, S., & Lee, H. (2025). AI-enabled entrepreneurship education. *Entrepreneurship Education Review*, 8(1), 45–62.

Schwab, K., & Zahidi, S. (2023). *The future of jobs report.* World Economic Forum.

Sirait, M., et al. (2025). AI adoption and entrepreneurial innovation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 197, 122876.

Theodore W. S. (1961). Economic Development and human capital https://www.britannica.com/money/Theodore-Schultz

Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Social consequences of technological change. *Human Relations*, 4(1), 3–38.

UNESCO. (2023). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers.* UNESCO.